by Government do not confirm that the film is derogatory to our national leaders.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The Government of India has not sought any explanation from the British Producer.

(d) No, Sir.

Revision of royalty etc. by All India Radio

789. SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal for the revision of drama feature rates of fee and royalty in the All India Radio;

(b) when was the last revision made;

(c) what are the existing fees for Top category. A category, BH category, B category of artists; and

(d) what are the reasons for not affecting corresponding revision in the All India Radio while rates have been revised in the Doordarshan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI V. N. GADGIL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Fee scales and royalty in respect of Drama Feature have been revised from 1st October, 1985.

(c) Category-wise fee scales of Drama artists

Top Category	Rs 400/—
'A' Category	Rs.300/—
'BH' Category	Rs.175/—
'B' Category	Rs.100/—

(d) Rates have been revised in AIR and Doordarshan at the same period, they were brought in force in AIR on 1st October, 1985 and in case of Doordarshan from 1st November, 1985.

Recommendation of penalty against Assistant Information Officer in Press Information Bureau, Madras by the Central Vigilance Commission

790. SHRI VALAMPURI JOHN: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Vigilance Commission has recommended major penalty in the case of one Assistant Information Officer in the Press Information Bureau, Madras;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Deputy Director General, Doordarshan, has stated in a report that the same official was responsible for running a press tirade against T. V. in the recent past;

(c) whether it is a fact that the official's brother who has been running an advertising concern, has given a concessional statement to the Ministry in 1983;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Madras Doordarshan Kendra strongly opposed his posting in the local Kendra;

(e) whether it is a fact that despite all the shady deals of the official he has been posted again as additional news editor, Doordarshan, Madras; and

(f) if so, what are the reasons?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI V. N. GADGIL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) There were some general comments about his conduct but it did not warrant any disciplinary action against him.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) and (f) Senior Scale Officer of the Central Information Service, Group A' has been posted as News Editor in Doordarshan Ken-